

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/895,047	CHANDRACHOOD, SANTOSH S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia Baturay	2446	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia Baturay (USPTO). (3)_____.

(2) Thomas Frame (Reg. # 47,232). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 74,78,82,86,90,94,98,102 and 106-109.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the Applicant's representative agreed to incorporate the limitations of claims 106-109 into claims 74, 82, 90 and 98, respectively. Additionally, claim 78 would now become dependent on claim 74, 86 on 82, 94 on 90, and 102 on 98.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Alicia Baturay/ Examiner, Art Unit 2446
--	---